UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,608	03/15/2004	Norikazu Ota	119100	8411	
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 06/27/200 RIDGE, PLC	8	EXAM	IINER	
P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			MATTHEW		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4830		119100 8411 EXAMINER KAYRISH, MATTHEW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2627	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/27/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Intonvious Summany	10/799,608	OTA ET AL.					
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MATTHEW G. KAYRISH	2627					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>MATTHEW G. KAYRISH</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Abdul Basit</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>24 June 2008</u> .							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,4 and 8</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Ainslie et al (US Patent Number 4761699)</u> , <u>Sluzewski et al (US Patent Number 6985332)</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner raised issues from previous amendments and rejections. Specific claim language given in a 6/28/2006 amendment, combined with specific claim language given in an 7/18/2007 amendment may be patentable over AT LEAST the cited references. Examiner indicated that further search may be necessary.							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, '	been filed, APPI DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS				
	/Matthew G Kayrish/						
	Examiner, Art Unit 2627 Examiner's signature, if requi	red					